

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/098,697 | 03/15/2002 | Royce D. Jordan JR. | 010565 | 5132 |
| 26285 7 | 590 03/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP | | | RIMELL, SAMUEL G | |
| 535 SMITHFIE PITTSBURGH | | · | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2165 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 02/16/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/098,697 | JORDAN, ROYCE D. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sam Rimell | 2165 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No | ovember 2004. | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) | vn from consideration. | n requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ·. | | | | |
| | 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the c | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | | • • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | , | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No Id in this National Stage Id. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | |

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I: Claims 2, 4-6, 8-9, 11-14, 16-17, 30-39, addressed to a system that is configured as a gateway, classified in class 370, subclass 401.

Group II: Claims 40-66, addressed to a method and computer program for transmitting data across a network gateway between networks, classified in class 709, subclasses 204 and 206.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Application/Control Number: 10/098,697

Art Unit: 2165

Page 3

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at

telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2165